

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA**

PAUL GERLICH and ERIN FURLEIGH,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No.
)	
STEVEN LEATH, WARREN MADDEN,)	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
THOMAS HILL, and LEESHA)	AND DECLARATORY RELIEF
ZIMMERMAN,)	AND DAMAGES
)	
Defendants.)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	

Plaintiffs Paul Gerlich and Erin Furleigh complain of Defendants and allege:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. “The vigilant protection of constitutional freedoms is nowhere more vital than in the community of American schools.” *Shelton v. Tucker*, 364 U.S. 479, 487 (1960). This is particularly true of public universities, where “[t]eachers and students must always remain free to inquire, to study and to evaluate, to gain new maturity and understanding.” *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, 354 U.S. 234, 250 (1957). The freedoms of speech, assembly, and petition must be zealously guarded because “[t]he college classroom with its surrounding environs is peculiarly the ‘marketplace of ideas.’” *Healy v. James*, 408 U.S. 169, 180 (1972).

2. Recognizing the gravity of these principles, the United States Supreme Court has held that “state colleges and universities are not enclaves immune from the sweep of the First Amendment.” *Id.* Nevertheless Iowa State University (“ISU”) and the individual Defendants have violated the First Amendment rights of the Plaintiffs and the ISU chapter of the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (“NORML”) through viewpoint-based application of the University’s trademark policies.

3. First, the Defendants withdrew approval of the NORML ISU chapter's t-shirt design after state officials and some members of the public complained about its political message advocating the legalization of marijuana. Second, the Defendants adopted and enforced new trademark regulations expressly to restrict NORML ISU's message. Third, the Defendants rejected two additional t-shirt designs, including one that stated "NORML ISU Supports Marijuana Legalization." Defendants claimed that the designs violate ISU's vague and overbroad prohibition against promoting "dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors" and "drugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful." In doing so, the Defendants confused political advocacy with illegal conduct and, in the process, suppressed speech protected by the First Amendment.

4. More than 70 years ago, in the darkest days of World War II, the Supreme Court said "[i]f there is any fixed star in our constitutional constellation, it is that no official, high or petty, can prescribe what shall be orthodox in politics, nationalism, religion, or other matters of opinion." *W. Va. State Bd. of Educ. v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624, 642 (1943). Defendants, however, have hindered NORML ISU from challenging the orthodoxy that marijuana use should be prohibited. By using its trademark licensing policy to dictate acceptable ways for NORML ISU to express ideas, Defendants ignored the Supreme Court's axiom that "the government may not regulate speech based on its substantive content or the message it conveys." *Rosenberger v. Rector & Visitors of the Univ. of Va.*, 515 U.S. 819, 828 (1995).

5. Students Paul Gerlich and Erin Furleigh and the more than 500 members of NORML ISU, want to advocate a policy change that is being implemented in a growing number of states – the legalization of marijuana. By policy and practice, however, ISU unlawfully

restricts its students' and faculty's constitutional right to free expression and it has acted specifically to restrict the Plaintiffs' rights.

6. This civil rights action seeks to protect and vindicate Plaintiffs' freedoms under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. As set forth in this complaint, Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh challenge ISU's policies and enforcement practices both on their face and as applied. This action seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, damages, and attorneys' fees.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This action arises under the United States Constitution, particularly the First and Fourteenth Amendments, and the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.

8. This Court has original jurisdiction over these federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

9. This Court has authority to grant the requested declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 57.

10. This Court has authority to issue the requested injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65.

11. This Court has authority to award attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

12. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events giving rise to the instant claim occurred within this District and because at least one Defendant resides in this District.

III. PLAINTIFFS

13. Plaintiff Paul Gerlich is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, a resident of Ames, Iowa, and he is presently a student at Iowa State University. He is the current president of the NORML chapter at ISU.

14. Plaintiff Erin Furleigh is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, a resident of Ames, Iowa, and she is presently a student at Iowa State University. She is the current vice-president of the NORML chapter at ISU.

IV. DEFENDANTS

15. Defendant Steven Leath is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, President of Iowa State University. He is ISU's chief executive officer, responsible for ISU's administration and policy-making, including the policies and procedures challenged herein that were applied to deprive Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh of their constitutional rights. Defendant Leath acquiesced in, sanctioned, and supported the actions of Defendants Warren Madden, Thomas Hill, and Leesha Zimmerman in enforcing these policies against Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh. Defendant Leath acted under color of state law and is sued both in his personal and official capacities. *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

16. Defendant Warren R. Madden is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, Senior Vice-President, Division of Business & Financial Affairs at ISU. He is the chief business officer of Iowa State University, responsible for enactment and enforcement of ISU trademark licensing policies, including the policies and procedures challenged herein. Defendant Madden acquiesced in, sanctioned, and supported the actions of Defendant Leesha Zimmerman in enforcing these policies against Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh as set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Madden acted under color of state law and is sued both in his personal and official capacities. *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

17. Defendant Thomas Hill is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, Senior Vice President for Student Affairs at ISU. As the leader of the Division of Student Affairs, he is responsible for implementing its “mission and vision,” while managing the division’s personnel and resources and participating in the University's leadership/management team. He supported and rationalized enforcement of the policies and procedures challenged herein. Defendant Hill acted under color of state law and is sued both in his personal and official capacities. *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

18. Defendant Leesha Zimmerman is, and was at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Program Director of the Trademark Licensing Office (“Trademark Office”) at Iowa State University. Defendant Zimmerman possesses delegated authority to license use of ISU trademarks, and in that capacity she denied approval of the NORML ISU t-shirt designs. Defendant Zimmerman acted under color of state law and is sued both in her personal and official capacities. *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Background

19. The legalization and decriminalization of marijuana has been the focus of an intense nationwide political debate for decades. Currently, two states have legalized marijuana for recreational use, while twenty-one states and the District of Columbia have approved medical uses of marijuana. In the remaining states – including Iowa – citizens and policymakers continue to discuss whether, and how, government policies toward marijuana should be changed. This year, the Iowa legislature considered legislation to legalize marijuana for certain medical uses. *E.g.*, William Petroski, *Medical Cannabis Bill Approved 36-12 by Iowa Senate*, DES MOINES REGISTER, April 24, 2014.

20. NORML has been actively involved in such policy debates and petitioning activities since its founding in 1970 as a national organization dedicated to “mov[ing] public opinion sufficiently to legalize the responsible use of marijuana by adults” NORML has more than 135 chapters nationwide, including on America’s college campuses.

21. Students at Iowa State University, including the Plaintiffs, have been engaged in these public policy debates and petitioning activities. Like the national organization with which it is affiliated, NORML ISU advocates reform of existing laws governing marijuana to permit its responsible use by adults. The ISU campus chapter has provided information on the legalization issue by publishing a website, hosting events, conducting student outreach, and other related activities.

22. Since 2012, NORML ISU has been an approved campus organization in the “political & activism” category. *See* The Iowa State Chapter of the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (available at <https://sodb-stuorg.sws.iastate.edu/view-details.php?id=1954>). Its statement of purpose provides that the organization shall promote a better understanding of the current status of laws concerning cannabis (marijuana) in the state of Iowa and in the United States at large and will work to advance the medical, legal, environmental, economic, and civil libertarian arguments for more reasonable treatment of cannabis in the laws of the state of Iowa and the United States. *Id.* The University’s website for NORML ISU currently lists over 500 members. *Id.*

23. NORML ISU’s members meet regularly to promote “innovative ideas as well as division of labor regarding and consisting of, but not limited to: publicity, event planning, political activism, organizational necessities, budgeting, apparel, and philanthropy.” *Id.* The listing of “apparel” among the organization’s activities involves the production of shirts carrying

messages designed to raise awareness of NORML ISU while promoting the political cause for which it was founded.

24. It is this activity (“apparel”) that became the target of Defendant’s viewpoint-based application of the University’s Guidelines for University Trademark Use By Student and Campus Organizations (“Trademark Guidelines”) (attached hereto as Ex. A).

25. ISU’s Trademark Office administers a licensing program that governs the use of all University trademarks. The University has secured a federal trademark for the abbreviation “ISU.” Student and campus organizations may use the letters ISU, the University’s full name, and/or other marks consistent with their recognized status with the University, but only after the Trademark Office has reviewed the use and determined that it complies with the design standards established by the University.

26. ISU has delegated the management of its marks to the Trademark Office, which is directed by Defendant Zimmerman, and is “housed under the Office of Senior Vice President for Business and Finance,” Defendant Madden.

27. Through the arbitrary application of hastily contrived and poorly defined guidelines, the Defendants denied approval of two different NORML ISU t-shirt designs, after rescinding approval of another. Each of those designs was intended to raise awareness of the organization and to convey political messages by Plaintiffs, by NORML ISU, and by those wearing the apparel.

B. Specific Violations

(1) Meeting on November 30, 2012

28. In October 2012, NORML ISU submitted a t-shirt design to the Trademark Office that had the name of the organization on the front with the “O” in “NORML” represented by the head of the school’s mascot (“Cy the Cardinal”). The text on the back of the shirt read,

“Freedom is NORML at ISU” with an image of a small cannabis leaf above the acronym “NORML.”

29. This t-shirt design met all the University guidelines for student organization t-shirts in effect at the time, and the ISU Trademark Office approved it for production and sale.

30. In accordance with University rules, NORML ISU initially ordered 100 t-shirts from a university-approved vendor, with payment due on delivery. The t-shirts sold out within a week. The group then placed a follow-up order for \$500 worth of t-shirts. That order was never fulfilled because of a subsequent administrative decision and the willful and knowing acts of Defendants.

31. On November 19, 2012, a front page article appeared in the *Des Moines Register* discussing legalization of marijuana that included a picture of the then student president of NORML ISU wearing the organization’s t-shirt, described in ¶ 28.

32. Shortly thereafter, on or about November 30, 2012, Defendants Hill and Madden called a meeting on short notice with representatives of NORML ISU. At the meeting, attended by Plaintiff Furleigh, Defendants told the students that ISU had rescinded approval of the t-shirt design.

33. Defendants Hill and Madden contended that having the school’s mascot’s head replace the “O” of NORML, suggested that ISU itself was supporting marijuana legalization. To support their claim, the Defendants mentioned a critical Letter to the Editor published by the *Des Moines Register*.

34. On information and belief, the news article prompted state legislators and private citizens to complain that ISU’s mascot had been used on a shirt promoting the legalization of marijuana. ISU reacted to the complaints by revoking its prior approval of the t-shirt design.

See ISU Student Group Banned From Producing More Shirts Using Cy Logo, THE DES MOINES REGISTER, Nov. 30, 2012 (attached hereto as Ex. B).

35. Defendants Hill and Madden admitted at the November 30 meeting that they feared that the t-shirt posed a financial threat to ISU, either through loss of state funding or alumni donations.

36. Defendants Madden and Hill also informed the students at the November 30 meeting that their group adviser, James Wilson, had been removed. Mr. Wilson is a member of ISU's custodial staff and previously had obtained the necessary approvals to advise the group. Nevertheless, Defendants stated that his involvement could violate union rules if he met with the group during his duty hours.

37. With the removal of Mr. Wilson as NORML ISU's advisor, Defendant Hill (who was a driving force in revoking approval of the t-shirt design) offered to act as NORML ISU's interim adviser until they found someone else on a permanent basis. NORML ISU had little choice but to accept this "offer," as ISU requires that each student activity group have a faculty advisor to maintain its status as a registered student organization.

38. ISU psychology professor Eric Cooper became NORML ISU's permanent faculty adviser in February 2013. Nevertheless, Defendant Hill has not relinquished his position as the group's "interim" adviser.

(2) New Guidelines Implemented Because of T-shirt Design Controversy

39. In response to critical comments from state legislators and others about NORML ISU's initial t-shirt design, the ISU Trademark Office drafted revisions to ISU's Trademark Guidelines. Effective January 16, 2013, the Trademark Guidelines included the following relevant restriction at Section 6(e):

No designs that use University marks that suggest promotion of the below listed items will be approved: dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions, or behaviors. . . . [D]rugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful

40. Defendant Madden acknowledged to NORML ISU's faculty advisor Cooper that the January 16, 2013 revision to the ISU Trademark Guidelines "was done as the result of a number of external comments including interpretations that the t-shirt developed indicated that Iowa State University supported the NORMAL [sic] ISU advocacy for the reform of marijuana laws The Guidelines were revised with the addition of 6e to clarify that ISU marks cannot be used in connection with the listed items."

41. Despite the purported attempt to clarify ISU's policy, the new Trademark Guidelines are vague and overbroad on their face and allow unbridled administrative discretion. Section 6(e) prohibits expression that "promotes" any "dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors" but does not define the term "promote." In this regard, Section 6(e) does not make clear how its prohibition against promoting illegal drug use applies to NORML ISU – a group that advocates legalization of marijuana through the established political process. Nor does Section 6(e) explain what constitutes a "dangerous" or "unhealthy" product, action, or behavior, or by what standard such attributes will be determined.

(3) March 2013 Meeting

42. Sometime around February 2013, Joshua Montgomery, one of the founders of NORML ISU, invited Steven Lukan, the Director of the Iowa Office of Drug Control Policy, to an event the group was planning with prominent advocates of marijuana legalization. In the email invitation, Montgomery encouraged Lukan to attend by saying, in essence, "please don't be afraid to come to this event." The email also mentioned that the group had a new t-shirt

design that stated “NORML ISU Student Chapter” on the front, and “We Are NORML” on the back.

43. On information and belief, Lukan contacted Defendant Leath to complain that NORML ISU members were still being permitted to design t-shirts advocating marijuana legalization using ISU’s trademarks.

44. On information and belief, Defendant Leath directed Defendant Hill to address the situation involving the t-shirt designs and the group’s contact with Lukan. Defendant Hill immediately scheduled a meeting with Montgomery, which Plaintiff Furleigh and a third student, Sam Burmeister, also attended.

45. At this meeting, Defendant Hill expressed displeasure on behalf of ISU and Leath that Montgomery had contacted Lukan. Defendant Hill accused Montgomery of “threatening” Lukan by telling him not to be afraid to attend the event.

46. Defendant Hill took this action after remaining in his position as “interim advisor,” even after NORML ISU had secured a new permanent advisor. Because Defendant Hill is a very highly placed university official, his continuing oversight has had a chilling effect on NORML ISU members and their comfort in advocating freely for legalization of marijuana.

(4) Enforcement of the Trademark Guidelines: NORML ISU’s June 2013 T-shirt Design Rejected

47. On February 12, 2013, after the guideline revisions, the Trademark Office approved a t-shirt design that said “NORML ISU” across the front and “We are NORML” across the back. The ISU Trademark Office approved another design on April 15, 2013, that simply stated “NORML ISU Student Chapter” on the front.

48. In May or June 2013, NORML ISU submitted another t-shirt design with the slogan “NORML ISU Supports Legalizing Marijuana” with a cannabis leaf graphic on the front.

The back of the t-shirt spelled out the name of the organization: National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws. On June 13, 2013, the Trademark Office rejected that design.

49. The Defendants based the rejection on varied claims that the design “represented a call to action,” that the message stating NORML ISU’s position might be misconstrued as the University’s position, that the proposed design was unnecessarily “sensational” and would not change the public’s perception of marijuana.

50. Defendant Zimmerman explained to NORML ISU’s faculty advisor Cooper that she rejected the June t-shirt design because:

T-shirts are walking billboards to advertise many things. It can be an opportunity or failure. You have suggested a T-hirt [sic] design with the organization name and the statement ‘Legalize Marijuana’ with a graphic cannabis leaf. ‘Legalize Marijuana’ is a call to action but it does not suggest any specific way your organization is making that happen.

Defendant Zimmerman also claimed that “[i]t can easily be inferred by the general public that the students attending Iowa State University want to legalize marijuana for their use and by default, the university must support the effort.”

51. Defendant Zimmerman also asserted that the proposed design had “a certain shock or attention grabbing sensationalism” and that the shirts “do not further your cause as an advocate for change in the laws or trying to change the publics’ [sic] perception of marijuana.”

52. According to the website of the *Iowa State Daily* newspaper, Defendant Hill supported the Trademark Office decision to deny approval for NORML ISU’s June 2013 design.

53. NORML ISU did not appeal the decision to reject the design because doing so would have been futile. Defendant Madden, who oversees the Trademark Office that drafted the new Trademark Guidelines, was empowered to decide any appeal. Defendant Madden had rescinded the approval of the first t-shirt, and then had acknowledged to NORML ISU’s faculty

advisor Cooper that NORML ISU's t-shirt design is what prompted implementation of section 6(e).

(5) Enforcement of the Trademark Guidelines: NORML ISU's March 2014 T-shirt Designs Rejected

54. In March 2014, NORML ISU submitted a t-shirt design to the Trademark Office for approval that repeated the phrase "NORML ISU" but varied the ink color to create the outline of a cannabis leaf. *See Ex. C.*

55. On April 10, 2014, the Trademark Office rejected the design stating:

"Conceptually, this cannot be approve [sic] as submitted. The full organization name needs to be included. Suggest this be inserted above Student Chapter. Also the silhouette of the marijuana leaf needs to be removed as this is a recognized symbol of an illegal drug in which the university's guidelines state the following: 'No designs that use University marks that suggest promotion of the below listed items will be approved:

- dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors;
- drugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful'.

Please revise and resubmit."

56. Because of this rejection, NORML ISU has not produced the t-shirt, and its members have been restricted in their ability to express their beliefs for fear of facing adverse action for violating ISU's policies.

57. ISU was put on notice that its Trademark Guidelines are unconstitutional. On March 11, 2014, the Foundation for Individual Rights in Education ("FIRE"), a non-partisan, non-profit, civil rights organization that protects rights of free expression on college and university campuses, sent a letter to ISU's President, Defendant Leath, providing detailed analysis to demonstrate that the university's application of its Trademark Licensing Policy to NORML ISU violated the First Amendment.

58. ISU's Associate General Counsel, Keith N. Bystrom, responded to FIRE's letter on April 29, 2014 and disputed the claims that the prohibitions contained in paragraph 6(e) are unconstitutionally broad, impermissibly vague and chill student organizations' ability to obtain approval to use ISU's trademarks.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

As-Applied Violation of Plaintiffs' Right to Free Speech Under the First and Fourteenth Amendments (42 U.S.C. § 1983) (All Defendants)

59. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations in this Complaint.

60. The First and Fourteenth Amendments extend to campuses of state colleges and universities. *Healy v. James*, 408 U.S. at 180.

61. All of the acts of Defendants were taken under the color of law.

62. ISU and Defendants bear the burden of justifying any regulation of expressive activity.

63. Graphics and color are "entitled to the First Amendment protections afforded verbal" speech. *Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Counsel of Supreme Court of Ohio*, 471 U.S. 626, 646 (1985). The "'use of illustrations or pictures ... serves important communicative functions: it attracts the attention of the audience ... and it may also serve to impart information directly,'" and "[t]he same is undoubtedly true of ... color." *Commonwealth Brands, Inc. v. U.S.*, 678 F.Supp.2d 512, 522 (W.D. Ky. 2010) (quoting *Zauderer*, 471 U.S. at 646), *aff'd in relevant part sub nom., Discount Tobacco City & Lottery, Inc. v. U.S.*, 674 F.3d 509 (6th Cir. 2012).

64. By withdrawing approval of NORML ISU's t-shirt design in November 2012, Defendants suppressed Plaintiffs' message because of its viewpoint.

65. Defendant's modification of ISU's trademark design standards and their application of the new standards to deny approval of NORML ISU's proposed t-shirt designs in January 2013, further suppressed Plaintiffs' message because of its viewpoint.

66. Defendants' intervention into NORML ISU's internal affairs by, among other things, Defendant Hill's continuing involvement as "interim" advisor, has impeded Plaintiffs' ability to disseminate their organization's message.

67. Defendants have explicitly and implicitly chilled Plaintiffs' free expression and have deprived Plaintiffs of their clearly established rights to freedom of speech and expression secured by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

68. The denial of constitutional rights is irreparable injury *per se*, and Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh are also entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief, including a declaration that Defendants violated their First Amendment rights.

69. Defendants Madden and Zimmerman's actions, as endorsed by Defendants Leath and Hill, violated clearly established constitutional rights of which all reasonable administrators and staff should have known, rendering them liable to Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh for damages under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

70. Additionally, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages based on the actions of Defendants Madden and Zimmerman, as endorsed by Defendants Leath and Hill in an amount to be determined by the evidence and this Court, and the reasonable costs of this lawsuit, including Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees.

COUNT II

Facial Challenge to Violation of Right to Free Speech Under the Plaintiffs’ First and Fourteenth Amendment Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983) – Overbreadth (All Defendants)

71. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations in this Complaint.

72. A public university such as ISU and its officers and administrators may not punish “a ‘substantial’ amount of protected free speech, ‘judged in relation to the statute’s plainly legitimate sweep [.]’” *Virginia v. Hicks*, 539 U.S. 113, 118-19 (2003) (citing *Broadrick v. Oklahoma*, 413 U.S. 601, 615 (1973)); *Doe v. Univ. of Mich.*, 721 F. Supp. 852, 864 (E.D. Mich. 1989). Any regulation that does so is invalid “‘until and unless a limiting construction or partial invalidation so narrows it as to remove the seeming threat or deterrence to constitutionally protected expression[.]’” *Hicks*, 539 U.S. at 118-19.

73. Section 6(e) of the ISU Trademark Guidelines is unconstitutional because it prohibits using ISU’s marks in association with any message that promotes “dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors.”

74. Under the plain language of this overbroad policy, the football team could be prevented from using ISU’s logo or mascot because engaging in a sport with a high incidence of injury is a “dangerous behavior.” Likewise, the insignia of the Cyclone Battalion (Army ROTC) could be deemed unacceptable under the policy because military training, not to mention combat, is dangerous. Furthermore, the ISU Game Development Club could be prevented from using the ISU’s marks because spending too much time creating and playing video games can cause eye strain, may contribute to obesity by promoting sedentary behavior, and is asserted to have other “unhealthful” effects.

75. Section 6(e)'s prohibition against promoting "drugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful" is also overbroad.

76. Section 6(e)'s open-ended prohibition enables the Defendants to enforce the Trademark Guidelines to deny the use of ISU's marks to a wide range of student activities and organizations. The overly broad limitation vests ISU's officials with the ability to discriminate against organizations whose political message is disfavored.

77. As a direct result of the Defendants' enforcement of ISU's Trademark Guidelines, Plaintiffs, and in fact all students at ISU, are deprived of their right to free speech under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution.

78. The denial of constitutional rights is irreparable injury *per se*, and Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh are also entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief, including a declaration that Defendants violated their First Amendment rights.

COUNT III

**Facial Challenge to Violation of Right to Free Speech Under the Plaintiffs'
First and Fourteenth Amendment Rights (42 U.S.C. § 1983) – Vagueness
(All Defendants)**

79. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations in this Complaint.

80. A state enactment is void for vagueness if the prohibitive terms are not clearly defined such that a person or ordinary intelligence can readily identify the applicable standard for inclusion and exclusion. *Grayned v. City of Rockford*, 408 U.S. 104, 108 (1972).

81. Defendants' policies restricting speech fail to adequately advise the students subject to discipline of the obligations the policies create, and are unconstitutionally vague on their face in violation of the First Amendment and of the due process guarantee of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

82. Vague and undefined policies also vest the public officials who must enforce them with unbridled discretion that may be exercised in an inconsistent or discriminatory manner.

83. Defendants' policies do not provide standards to guide the discretion of public officials at ISU when reviewing requests under the ISU Trademark Guidelines to use ISU's marks. This empowers such public officials to administer the policy on the basis of impermissible factors such as the viewpoint to be expressed or through arbitrary application.

84. The policies set forth in Section 6(e) are unconstitutionally vague. The policies prohibit promotion of "dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors" and "drugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful" but fail to define what constitutes a "dangerous" or "unhealthy." The policies provide no criteria that enable students to understand what University officials might consider "dangerous" or "unhealthful."

85. Defendants denied NORML ISU authorization to have a t-shirt with the outline of a cannabis leaf because they claimed it promoted drugs and dangerous and unhealthy behaviors.

86. ISU has, however, authorized its mark to be used in the insignia and on the website for ISU Cuffs, "an educational group for people who want to learn more about alternative sexuality such as kink, fetish, BDSM, and other expressions of safe, consensual, and non-exploitative human sexuality." *See* Iowa State University Cuffs (available at <http://www.cuffs.stuorg.iastate.edu/>). In its FAQ, Cuffs states: "Bondage is by far the most common kinky practice, but it is also among the most difficult things to do without causing harm." *Id.* It also states that BDSM is not any more [dangerous] than other contact sports" but that "there are some risks involved." *Id.*

87. ISU's Trademark Guidelines do not explain the criteria that Defendants and other ISU administrators use to determine whether an activity is dangerous or unhealthy. A person of normal intelligence would have no way to know that promoting BDSM with the image of handcuffs is acceptable under the policy, while advocating for marijuana legalization using an outline of a marijuana leaf violates the policy.

88. Because of Defendants' policies and actions, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, and the reasonable costs of this lawsuit, including their reasonable attorneys' fees.

89. The denial of constitutional rights is irreparable injury *per se*, and Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh are also entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief, including a declaration that Defendants violated their First Amendment rights.

COUNT IV

Declaratory Judgment and Injunction (28 U.S.C. § 2201, et seq.) (All Defendants)

90. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each of the foregoing allegations in this Complaint.

91. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning Plaintiffs' rights under the United States Constitution. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time as to Counts I through III above.

92. Plaintiffs desire a judicial determination of their rights against Defendants as they pertain to Plaintiffs' right to advocate for the legalization of marijuana without being subjected to viewpoint-based speech restrictions that are not narrowly tailored to serve a substantial governmental interest and that vest ISU officials such as the Defendants with unbridled discretion.

93. To prevent further violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by Defendants, it is appropriate and proper that a declaratory judgment issue, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 57, declaring ISU's policies unconstitutional.

94. Furthermore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2202 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, it is appropriate and hereby requested that this Court issue a permanent injunction prohibiting the Defendants from enforcing their restrictions on Plaintiffs' expressive activities to the extent they are unconstitutional, to prevent the ongoing violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. Plaintiffs and their fellow students are suffering irreparable harm from continued enforcement of the ISU's unconstitutional Trademark Guidelines, monetary damages are inadequate to remedy their harm, and the balance of equities and public interest both favor a grant of injunctive relief.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Gerlich and Furleigh respectfully request that the Court enter judgment against Defendants and provide Plaintiffs the following relief:

A. A declaratory judgment stating that ISU's Trademark Licensing Policy is unconstitutional facially and as-applied, and that it violates the Plaintiffs' rights as guaranteed under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;

B. A permanent injunction restraining enforcement of Defendants' unconstitutional policies and practices;

C. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' censorship of Plaintiffs' expressive activity by refusing to authorize their t-shirt designs violated their First and Fourteenth Amendment rights;

D. Monetary damages in an amount to be determined by the Court to compensate for the Defendants' interference with Plaintiffs' expressive activity and political advocacy.

E. Plaintiffs' reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including attorneys' fees, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and other applicable law; and

F. All other further relief to which Plaintiffs may be entitled.

VIII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues properly triable by jury in this action.

DATED: July 1, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Michael A. Giudicessi

ROBERT CORN-REVERE

(pro hac vice motion forthcoming)

bobcornrevere@dwt.com

RONALD G. LONDON

(pro hac vice motion forthcoming)

ronnielondon@dwt.com

LISA B. ZYCHERMAN

(pro hac vice motion forthcoming)

lisazycherman@dwt.com

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 973-4200

Facsimile: (202) 973-4499

MICHAEL A. GIUDICESSI

Michael.giudicessi@faegrebd.com

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

801 Grand Avenue, 33rd Floor

Des Moines, IA 50309-8011

Telephone: (515) 248-9000

Facsimile: (515) 248-9010

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Paul Gerlich and Erin Furleigh

EXHIBIT A

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

GUIDELINES FOR
UNIVERSITY TRADEMARK USE BY
STUDENT AND CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

Iowa State University benefits from public recognition of its names, symbols, logos and other identifying marks (Marks). The University has delegated the management of these Marks to the Trademark Licensing Office (Trademark Licensing), housed under the Office of Business and Finance, and has contracted with The Licensing Resource Group (LRG) for administrative and marketing services.

The University's student and campus organizations (Organizations) may use ISU's name and marks consistent with their recognized status with University, as well as the *Iowa State University Trademark Management Policy* (Policy), the *Licensing Program Guidelines for the Use of Iowa State University's Marks* (Program Guidelines), these *Guidelines for University Trademark Use by Student and Campus Organizations*, and procedures adopted to implement the above policy and guidelines.

2. LAW, OWNERSHIP, AND MANAGEMENT OF MARKS

Federal, state, and common laws govern the University's rights to its Marks. These laws place an obligation on the University to avoid consumer confusion and require the use of any Marks be monitored to avoid losing exclusive control. The University owns federal service mark registrations and trademark registrations on its Marks, which assist in enforcing and maintaining these rights.

Trademark Licensing and LRG are responsible for the legal protection of the Marks, which includes approving users of the Marks and approving the use of the Marks. This legal protection is accomplished in two ways: (i) through Trademark Licensing and LRG, individuals, organizations, and businesses are granted the right to use the Mark; licensing agreements provide the necessary control required by federal trademark law; and (ii) through consistency in the use of the Marks within the University.

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

To promote and protect the University's image and to comply with federal trademark laws, Trademark Licensing must approve use of the University's trademarks on commercial products. The Student Use Guidelines are in place to assist Organizations in the proper use of the University's trademarks. It is important to the University that students recognize the importance of monitoring and protecting these Marks and to become knowledgeable and skillful in their protection. It also promotes fair and equitable treatment of our licensees and avoids unfair competition with our retailers.

3. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Use by Student and Campus Organizations is governed by the following principles:

- a. The University trademarks its name and marks in order to assure appropriate identification of the University and its constituent units and to preserve the University's reputation by avoiding misrepresentation of the University's mission, purposes, divisions and units. The marks are reserved for University purposes.
- b. Student and Campus Organizations, as self-governing organizations, have no right to use the names and marks of the University, beyond uses that factually identify the organization as being affiliated with, or located at, Iowa State University unless the University has granted permission as provided by these Guidelines.
- c. Iowa State University has adopted a Student Organization Recognition Policy that distinguishes between sponsored, affiliated and registered organizations. These tiers recognize the extent to which the Student Organization's activity is supported and endorsed by the University. The Student Organization Recognition Policy determines the relationship between Student Organizations to the University, including whether their activities are activities of the University or are endorsed by the University. These Guidelines will be implemented to support the Student Organization Recognition Policy.
- d. The University's name and Marks may not be used in a manner which falsely portrays the University's mission, services, initiatives and positions. The University's name and marks may not be used to imply support or endorsement of a particular position on matters of public concern, unless the University or one of its units has indeed adopted a position and authorized the use.
- e. These guidelines are not intended to prevent the use of the University's name (as opposed to Marks) in a factual, critical or descriptive manner. Organizations which

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

have received recognition from a university unit (including recognized, affiliated and sponsored organizations) are permitted to use the name (as opposed to logos and nameplate) to factually indicate their connection to the University.

4. APPROVAL & MONITORING ORGANIZATION USES

All internal uses of the Marks by Organizations are monitored or approved by Trademark Licensing, Student Activities Center, or Campus Organizations Accounting Office.

- a. Monitoring. Trademark Licensing monitors the use of the Marks when used on printed material such as letterhead, flyers, newspaper advertising, web sites, etc., to identify or advertise Organization activities. Upon request, Trademark Licensing will review any printed materials before they are produced.
- b. Approval. Approved use of the Marks will be based on the general principles (see Section 3) and current design standards (see Section 6 of this document, and/or Licensing Program Guidelines and/or Internal Guidelines), the verification of the Organization status, and identification of the Organization name on the product. If the Marks are used on printed materials or products that require use of an Organization's funds, the financial transactions must be conducted through the Campus Organizations Accounting Office.

All Organizations are required to have custom orders for products with the Marks produced by licensed vendors. A list of licensed vendors is available by contacting Trademark Licensing at 515-294-4402 or trademark@iastate.edu or using the searchable database on Trademark Licensing's web site (<http://www.trademark.iastate.edu/merchandise/>).

Organizations are required to complete the on-line Internal Trademark Use Form found on Trademark Licensing's web site (<http://www.trademark.iastate.edu/forms/>). Submitted forms are reviewed on a daily basis or with 48 hours. It is the responsibility of the Organization to have all uses that include University trademarks approved by Trademark Licensing prior to use.

Organizations that qualify for a web site must follow the Student Activities Center's Guide to Student Organization Web Space (www.stuorg.iastate.edu/start) and follow the

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

University's Domain Name System Policy (www.policy.iastate.edu/policy/dns). The Organization's web site must contain the recognized name of the Organization as specified in Section 6.a. Student Organizations can use university trademarks (Iowa State words, symbols and graphics) on their web sites if those web sites are part of the ".iastate.edu" domain or if a domain name exception has been approved by IT Services. Dispensation, defined as a specific need which cannot be satisfactorily handled by the University, may be made by sending a request, with a detailed rationale for the exception, to webdev@iastate.edu.

5. ROYALTY, EXEMPTIONS, AND PAYMENT VOUCHERS

Custom orders for licensed goods are subject to the standard 10% royalty. An exemption is not automatically granted for a waiver of royalties for the items purchased by an Organization. The Organization must apply for an exemption by completing the on-line Internal Trademark Use Form. An exemption may be granted to a recognized Organization that sells product at cost to its members or on purchases that are given away. An exemption will not be granted to a recognized Organization that sells product to its members above cost, for fundraising or resale, or items sold outside of its membership (to friends, family, etc.).

Items purchased by the Organization for fundraisers need not contain the recognized name of the Organization as specified in Section 6.a. Notwithstanding the foregoing, all product purchased by the Organization for its members to utilize when representing their Organization must contain the officially registered name of the Organization as specified in Section 6.

Products purchased for the express purpose of raising funds through periodic sales for the Organization must follow Student Activities Center's Event Authorization procedures and complete the [Event Authorization and Notification Form](#) at www.sac.iastate.edu/eventauthorization and Campus Organizations Accounting Office procedures. Trademark Licensing may require copies of the authorization approval email for verification from the Student Activities Center.

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

Trademark Licensing authorizes all royalty exemptions. A request for a royalty exemption is part of the on-line Internal Trademark Use Form under usage as defined above. The completed form will be sent electronically to Trademark Licensing for review. Once reviewed, electronic messages will be sent to the Organization member submitting the request, the Organization's advisor, and the licensee on the status of the request including whether or not an exemption has been granted. Royalty adjustments not specifically defined in these Guidelines are reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

Organization members are prohibited from paying personally for items with trademarks purchased for the Organization. The Campus Organizations Accounting Office will honor a voucher or P-card purchase **ONLY** if products with trademarks are purchased through a licensed vendor. If the vendor is not licensed, the Campus Organizations Accounting Office places a hold on payment and sends the information to Trademark Licensing. Since using the University's trademarks without a license is a violation, the vendor and the Organization (adviser, president and treasurer) are notified. Penalties may be applied to the vendor and/or the Organization, including, but not limited to, non-payment of a voucher, monetary penalties, product recall, and/or prohibition of the use of the University's Marks.

6. DESIGN STANDARDS

Designs that use the University's Marks must be reviewed by Trademark Licensing and are subject to the design standards established by the University (http://www.trademark.iastate.edu/policy/tm_program.pdf). Additional standards specific to Organization's use of the marks include the following:

- a. The recognized name of the Organization must appear in the design. Trademark Licensing requires additional language and/or design details to show how an Organization is connected to the University, including, but not limited to, the requirement to use the verbiage "club", "student chapter", or other nomenclature.
- b. The use of the Marks is for activity within the scope of the Organization's recognition by the University. Student Organizations in the sponsored and affiliated tiers will generally receive preference in permission to use trademarks.
- c. The use of the Marks must be consistent with the General Principles of Section 3.

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

- d. Designs must be of high quality and appropriately portray the image of the University. Designs that contain vulgar, inappropriate, or insensitive language, profanity, or words with inappropriate double meanings will not be approved.
- e. No designs that use University marks that suggest promotion of the below listed items will be approved:
 - dangerous, illegal or unhealthy products, actions or behaviors;
 - firearms and weapons in a manner which is illegal, dangerous, harmful or destructive to humans;
 - drugs and drug paraphernalia that are illegal or unhealthful;
 - alcohol consumption in an illegal or unhealthful manner, or which is inconsistent with University policy and programs;
 - gambling/gaming;
 - sexual conduct, imagery, inferences or adult industry paraphernalia; or
 - tobacco products or tobacco usage.
- f. When using the University name (ISU or Iowa State University), the official University nameplate is available to identify the Organization as provided in the Visual Identity System maintained by the Office of University Marketing (www.marketing.iastate.edu/identity/). Organizations are also allowed a wide variety of font styles when using word marks such as ISU, Iowa State, Iowa State University, or Cyclones in a descriptive manner.
- g. Use of trademarks or other intellectual property owned by a third party must be approved by that entity in writing and submitted to ISU along with the desired artwork. For example, if you wish to use artwork, slogans, or trademarks created by someone not associated with your organization, a written release allowing for such use is required before ISU will grant design approval.
- h. Organizations may list an outside entity's name and/or logo as a sponsor of the Organization on products along with University trademarks as long as there is clear separation between the University marks and the sponsor marks. A sponsor statement must be included in close proximity to the sponsor(s) such as "Sponsored by" to clearly identify their relationship to the Organization. The Organization name, per Section 6.a., must be included in the design to communicate the sponsorship is with an Organization. Use of an outside entity's name and/or logo cannot have the appearance of a University endorsement.

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

- i. Organizations that are sponsoring, hosting, or co-hosting an event may use the University trademarks on products as long as the name of the event, the date of the event, and the Organization's name appear in the design. The use and placement of other entity's names and/or logos that are also sponsoring the event is allowed as long as the outside entity's name and/or logo does not have the appearance of a University endorsement of the outside entity or its products. Outside entities that are participating in the event may have their name and/or logo included in the design as long as written permission from the proper authority of the entity is provided to Trademark Licensing.

Approval and Re-approval

Trademark Licensing must approve the design prior to offering it to Organization members on a sign-up and/or pre-pay basis. This will avoid problems should Trademark Licensing not approve the design.

A design previously approved will not automatically be re-approved. Designs will be evaluated on current design standards.

7. PRODUCT STANDARDS

The following standards will be used when approving products carrying the University's name and Marks:

- all products must be of high quality;
- no products considered dangerous or offensive will be approved, including, but not limited to, products causing potential health risks, products promoting firearms and weapons, drugs or drug paraphernalia, alcohol, gambling/gaming, adult industry paraphernalia, or tobacco products;
- no products with an unusually high product liability risk will be approved;
- approval must be consistent with the General Principles in Section 3.

Exceptions will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis with final approval granted from the Senior Vice President for Business and Finance.

8. CONTACTS

If an Organization has questions about how to interpret these Guidelines, contact:

Approved: 2/25/02
Revised 11/18/02
Revised 10/20/03
Revised 8/13/04
Revised: 3/6/05
Revised 8/2/11
Revised 1/16/13

- Trademark Licensing for information on design and product standards, Mark application, royalty, and licensing.
- Campus Organizations Accounting Office for assistance with financials, vouchers, and vendor payments;
- Student Activities Center for assistance with Clubs and Organization recognition procedures.

Trademark Licensing Office
1350 Beardshear Hall
Ames, Iowa 50011-2038
Phone: 515-294-4402
Fax: 515-294-8926
email: trademark@iastate.edu
www.trademark.iastate.edu

Campus Organizations Accounting Office
1580 Memorial Union, West SOS
Ames, IA 50011
Phone: 515-294-1633
Fax: 515-294-6497
Email: coa@iastate.edu
<http://www.controller.iastate.edu/campusorg/homepage.html>

Student Activities Center
1560 Memorial Union, East SOS
Ames, Iowa 50011
Phone: 515-294-1023
Fax: 515-294-6331
email: sac@iastate.edu
www.sac.iastate.edu

EXHIBIT B

ISU student group banned from producing more shirts using Cy logo



Josh Montgomery, age 20, a sophomore at Iowa State, holds a shirt to show the back side, the same shirt he is wearing. Montgomery is the President / Executive Director of the Iowa State chapter of NORML (National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Legislation). His group got permission from ISU to use the school logo on the tee shirt that has a pot leaf on it. The university has since asked the group not to produce any more shirts. (Bill Neibergall/The Register)

A student group at Iowa State University has been banned from using the Cy logo on their T-shirts, following complaints to the university after an article in the Register.

University administrators met Thursday with NORML ISU, the campus chapter of the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws, about the use of the school's mascot on their club shirts. The t-shirts say NORML ISU on the front, with Cy's head in place of the "O." On the back is the slogan "Freedom is Norml at ISU" and shows a marijuana leaf.

After the shirts were [featured in a photo in a Nov. 19 article about the possibility of legalizing marijuana in Iowa](#), the university has received email complaints from legislators and private citizens, said Warren Madden, senior vice president for business and finance.

"People started to react and we [started to get feedback](#) indicating that it's an endorsement of the position" to legalize marijuana, Madden said.

The shirt had initially been approved by the school's trademark licensing office. Madden said the office reviews around 9,000 designs a year, both for student groups and commercial companies looking to use the logo. Reviewing licensing policy after complaints started coming in, the office found that the shirt violated rules that the university trademarks not be associated with products that have health risks, and that Cy's image cannot be used to stand in for lettering in other groups' marks.

"There's no question about that, we approved those initially," said Tom Hill, senior vice president for student affairs.

"What we want to do is make it very clear that we support the student organization without a doubt," Hill said. "But these are positions that Iowa State University will not take a stand on. And we can't afford to be put in that position."

Hill and Madden met with seven members of the group to inform them that they would not be allowed to print the original design again.

"It surprised us all," said Josh Montgomery, the group's president. "It's just a hard reality to accept for somebody in my position. We have to do the best with what we have."

Montgomery told the Register in November that the fact that the university allowed the group to put Cy and the marijuana leaf on the same shirt, "It's blowing our minds."

Now, Montgomery said, the group feels unfairly targeted, because other clubs on campus can still use Cy, with university approval. "Even the skeet shooting team is allowed to print Cy holding a musket, plus the bondage club is allowed to print Cy on their t-shirts," Montgomery said. Hill said he is not aware of a bondage club using Cy's image.

Madden denies anyone was at fault for the initial approval of the design. "Hindsight is obviously 20/20," he said. "They approved it and the students didn't do anything inappropriate or wrong."

While Cy is definitely out, the university has not yet decided how it will proceed with the marijuana leaf or the use of the ISU letters. Madden said his office will review all proposed designs before the group can print another shirt.

EXHIBIT C

